Oswald Road Primary School Improvement Plan 2017/18 Achieving Success

Key priorities based upon analysis of data and evidence from monitoring

- Maths The development of non-routine problem solving and reasoning supporting developed levels of progress across school
- Diminish the difference for our pupil premium children to a gap of 10% or less.
- Diminish the difference for our EAL children to a gap of no more than 15%

If we are successful in our plan, the achievement outcomes for pupils in July 2017 will be:

End of EYFS targets for 2017/18

GLD (Good level of development) 76%

<u>Phonics</u> Year 1 – 82% Year 2 – 73% (8 out of 11 children)

End of KS1 targets for 2017/18

	% reaching Expected Standard	% working at Greater Depth
Reading	78%	26%
Writing	73%	18%
Mathematics	80%	21%

End of KS2 targets for 2017/18

	% reaching Expected Standard	% combined	% working at Greater Depth
Reading	81%	78%	27%
Writing	78%	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	19%
Mathematics	81%		26%

Progress to outcomes will be checked half termly. Termly pupil progress meetings will also be in place, where the attainment and progress of all pupils including focus on attainment and progress of all groups will be checked and actions put in place as needed and reviewed.

Progress through the plan will be monitored by the Governor's Teaching and Learning Committee on the following dates: 6th November 2017, 29th January 2018, 14th May 2018.

Achieving Success 2017/18 Priority 1 – Maths - The development of non-routine problem solving and reasoning – supporting developed levels of progress across school						
Co-ordinator: S. Turner – Achieving Success Year 2017/1 Lead		8	Cost of plan: £5800	est of plan: £5800		
Claire Myers – Strategic Maths Lead						
Action(for each action include briefly 'how' and w intended impact on the provision will be)	/hat the	Lead person accountable for the action	Time Scale Start and End dates (W/C)	CPD	Resources/Costs/Time	
ACTION: To exceed floor standards (KS2)						
HOW:Effective planning using the White Rose planning	g tool.	Claire Myers	Autumn 1	CPD in this area is staff	Staff Meeting	
New assessment system will identify the gaps in	n learning.	Sarah Turner	In place for Autumn 1	meetings / profession	Staff Meeting £300 (assessment system)	
Monitoring and CPD around effective differentia	tion.	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2	al dialogue	SLT Drop ins / scrutinies/ Staff meetings	
 Identify Year 6 pupils in maths who need to ma progress to achieve Expected Standard by May. 		Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Regular review mtgs with teachers	
 Identify Year 6 pupils in maths who need to ma progress to achieve Greater Depth by May. 	ke accelerated	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Regular review mtgs with teachers	
 Half termly meetings to analysis the progress of (including practice test scores). 	children	Sarah Turner	Half termly		Half termly review mtgs	
Plan interventions accordingly.		Wendy Gibson	Termly provision maps – amended as needed too		Time	

•	Monitor the impact of interventions to judge whether independent skills and confidence are successfully transferred back into the classroom. Moderation opportunities throughout the calendar	Sarah Turner Deborah Howard	Half termly Autumn 2, Spring 2		Half termly review meetings (as per above meeting) Staff meetings / Phase meetings
	T: Maths is effectively differentiated to match the of all groups of children so that they all make good as.				
ACTIO HOW:	N: To exceed floor standards (KS1) Effective planning using the White Rose planning tool.	Claire Myers	Autumn 1	CPD in this area is staff meetings / profession al	Staff meetings
•	New assessment system will identify the gaps in learning.	Sarah Turner	In place for Autumn 1	dialogue	Staff meetings £300 (assessment system)
•	Monitoring and CPD around effective differentiation.	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2		Staff meeting allocation / drop ins / scrutinies
•	Identify Year 2 pupils in maths who need to make accelerated progress to achieve Expected Standard by May.	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Regular review meetings with teacher
•	Identify Year 2 pupils in maths who need to make accelerated progress to achieve Greater Depth by May.	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Regular review meetings with teacher
•	Half termly meetings to analysis the progress of children.	Sarah Turner	Half termly		Review meetings
•	Plan interventions accordingly.	Helen Savage	Termly – amending provision as needed		Time

•	Monitor the impact of interventions to judge whether independent skills and confidence are successfully transferred back into the classroom.	Sarah Turner	Half termly		Meetings with phase lead
•	Moderation opportunities throughout the calendar	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2, Spring 2		Staff meetings / Phase meetings
	CT: Maths is effectively differentiated to match the of all groups of children so that they all make good ess.				
	N: To ensure a deeper conceptual understanding for ncepts, with reasoning opportunities threaded through ng.				
HOW: •	CPD through A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear understanding of how to teach key concepts effectively and how to develop reasoning skills	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1 Spring 1	CPD for this area is from an external provider	Staff meeting time Part of £1000 cost
•	Implement White Rose Planning with support from the Maths No Problem work books (reasoning opportunities threaded through)	Claire Myers	Autumn 1		Staff meeting / phase meeting time
•	Use the bar model from year 1 to year 6 to ensure consistency in teaching.	Claire Myers	Spring 1		Staff meeting time
•	Create a progressions tool and calculations policy to ensure consistency.	Claire Myers / Paul Salisbury	Autumn 2 (staff sharing Wk1, Spring 1)		Release time
•	To create a consistent approach to the vocabulary teachers and staff use in maths.	Claire Myers	Spring 1		Drop ins / book scrutinies / pupil voice / staff meeting
•	Focused book scrutinies to see impact and consistent approach – clear feedback given	Deborah Howard	Half termly		Release time
•	Specific follow up scrutiny and feedback	Claire Myers			Time

			Half termly from Autumn 2		
•	Dedicated staff meetings to share good practice each half term.	Claire Myers	Half termly		Staff meeting time
•	Mentor sessions set up where less impact is seen	Kat Rowe / maths team	Autumn 2		Release time
•	Teacher appraisal targets support development in this objective	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1		Meeting time
•	Scrutiny focusing on progress to target and impact led by A.Guy	Deborah Howard	Summer 1		Release time £500
•	Use of Specialist Leader of Education to work with Strategic Lead to support consistent approach to language	Claire Myers	Spring 1		Costs covered within MTSA membership
and re	T: Children have a secure understanding of concepts lationships between concepts. Children have developed ing skills				
	N: Routine and non-routine problem solving is planned ught consistently				
HOW:	CPD through A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear understanding of routine and non-routine problem solving.	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1, Spring 1	CPD for this area is from an external	Staff meeting time Part of £1000 cost
•	SLT and Middle Leader training on routine / non routine problem solving and conducting an effective maths book scrutiny (led by A.Guy)	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	provider	Part of £1000 cost
•	Scrutiny focusing on progress to target and impact led by A.Guy	Deborah Howard	Spring 1		Part of £1000 cost
•	Focused book scrutinies to see impact and consistent approach – clear feedback given	Deborah Howard	Half termly		Release time
•	Specific follow up scrutiny and feedback	Claire Myers	Half termly from Autumn 2		Time

ons set up where less impa		Kat Davia / math				
	act is seen	Kat Rowe / maths team	Autum	ın 2		Release time
are more fluent in their tills	routine non-routine					
	approach is				CPD for	
HOW:CPD through A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear understanding of this approach.		Deborah Howard	Summ	ner 1	is from an external provider and	Staff meeting time Part of £500 cost Staff meeting to revisit
ing - to analyse where tea		Claire Myers	Spring 1		al discussion s	Staff meeting / phase meeting time
• Buy new concrete resources for all children to use from EYFS to Year 6.		Claire Myers	Autum	ın 2		£4000 set in budget for any resources needed
	e secure					
What	Where	When		How		External Validation
Maths monitoring: *pupil voice, *teacher voice, *book looks, *QA focus visits, *T&L reviews, *Data analysis	*Staff meetings *Pupil progress meetings *Termly data collections *SLT meetings *T&L committee	term Learning walks (Tuesc Dedicated staff meetir (see QAC)	ch Evaluation of differentiation. days) Evaluation of pro ings solving Evaluation of C) reasoning opportunities		= n. ■ ■ 5	QA reports
	A. Guy to ensure teachers g of this approach. e using White Rose and this ing - to analyse where tea- anding of this approach crete resources for all child have a deeper and more fic concepts. What Maths monitoring: *pupil voice, *teacher voice, *book looks, *QA focus visits, *T&L reviews,	A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear g of this approach. e using White Rose and this approach would be ing - to analyse where teachers are up to with anding of this approach crete resources for all children to use from EYFS have a deeper and more secure sic concepts. What Where Maths monitoring: *pupil voice, *teacher voice, *book looks, *QA focus visits, *T&L reviews, *Staff meetings *Pupil yotata collections *SLT meetings	what Where When Maths monitoring: *Staff meetings PP meeting end of ead What Where When Maths monitoring: *Staff meetings PP meeting end of ead * using White Rose and this approach would be ing - to analyse where teachers are up to with anding of this approach Claire Myers Crete resources for all children to use from EYFS Claire Myers have a deeper and more secure Claire Myers What Where When Maths monitoring: *Staff meetings PP meeting end of ead *pupil voice, *Pupil progress Herm *book looks, *Termly data Collections *TAL reviews, *SLT meetings Book looks – (See QAC) *T&L reviews, *T&L committee Book looks – (See QA	A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear Deborah Howard Summer ig of this approach. Deborah Howard Summer using White Rose and this approach would be Claire Myers Spring ing - to analyse where teachers are up to with Claire Myers Spring anding of this approach Claire Myers Autum crete resources for all children to use from EYFS Claire Myers Autum have a deeper and more secure Claire Myers Preting end of each term ic concepts. *Staff meetings *Pupil progress *teacher voice, *Pupil progress meetings *teacher voice, *Termly data Clearening walks (Tuesdays) book looks, *SLT meetings See QAC) *T&L reviews, *T&L committee Book looks – (See QAC)	A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear og of this approach. Deborah Howard Summer 1 using White Rose and this approach would be ing - to analyse where teachers are up to with anding of this approach Claire Myers Spring 1 crete resources for all children to use from EYFS Claire Myers Autumn 2 have a deeper and more secure ic concepts. *Staff meetings *Pupil voice, *Teacher voice, *Termly data collections *SLT meetings *T&L committee PP meeting end of each term learning walks (Tuesdays) Dedicated staff meetings (see QAC) Book looks – (See QAC) How	A. Guy to ensure teachers have a clear g of this approach.Deborah HowardSummer 1CPD for this area is from an external provider and profession al discussion susing White Rose and this approach would be ing - to analyse where teachers are up to with anding of this approachClaire MyersSpring 1al discussion sCrete resources for all children to use from EYFSClaire MyersAutumn 2Mave a deeper and more secure ic concepts.WhenHowMaths monitoring: *Pupil voice, *teacher voice, *Data analysis *TRL reviews, *TRL revi

in maths

Impact: Evaluation Have th	e intended outcomes been achieved? V	Learning walks and pupil voice Reports to GB What are the key strengths and development points?
SUCCESS CRITERIA	MILESTONES	EVIDENCE OF IMPACT FROM MONITORING
 Clear problem solving is evident in books. Clear differentiation is evident in books. Reasoning opportunities are evident in books Books show problem solving and reasoning opportunities threaded throughout daily maths activities By the end of the academic year at least 75% of each cohort will reach Expected Standard in Maths. By the end of the academic year at least 20% of each cohort will reach GD in Maths Year 2 and Year 6 will meet national averages in Maths for Expected and Greater Depth. 	 By December 2017 Pupils will achieve 20% of the Maths targets to be on track to meet Expected Standard. Pupils will achieve 10% of the Maths targets at GD to be on track to meet GD. By March 2018 Pupils will achieve 50% of the Maths targets to be on track to meet Expected Standard. Pupils will achieve 20% of the Maths targets at GD to be on track to meet GD. By June 2018 Pupils will achieve 80% of the Maths targets to be on track to meet GD. 	

END OF YEAR EVALUATION	NEXT STEPS

Achieving Success 2017/18 Priority 2 - Diminish the difference for our pupil premium children to a gap of 10% or less across school					
Co-ordinator: Sarah Turner - Achieving Success Year 2017/1 Lead		8	Cost of plan: See PP	G report for f	ull costings
Deborah Howard – Headteacher					
Helen Woolf – Assistant Head (SENCo)					
Action(for each action include briefly `how' and w intended impact on the provision will be)	hat the	Lead person accountable for the action	Time Scale Start and End dates (W/C)	CPD	Resources/Costs/Time
ACTION: Effective use of Pupil Premium Funding – identifying and monitoring and mapping provision				Staff meetings, feedback	
 HOW: Analysis of data in regards to pupil premium spe diminishing the difference. 	nding and	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	and follow up, time to talk as professioa	Time
 Monitor what provision/interventions are effectiv studies 	e – case	Sarah Turner	Autumn 2	nls	Time
 Monitor what provision / interventions are effection intervention data meetings to analyse impact and 	,	Sarah Turner	Termly		Time
 Organise a Pupil Premium Review and action pla findings 	n from	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2		Time (cost covered within MTSA membership)
 Develop tracking system of pupil premium children costed provision and it's impact. Termly review for progress meetings 		Sarah Turner	Autumn 1 - termly		Time / staff meeting time
 Use further specific costed provision map for pup children for reading, writing and maths - to ensu on area of need. Termly review 		Helen Woolf	Autumn 2 - termly		Time / staff meeting time
 Monitor the progress of pupil premium pupils wit progress meetings (paperwork to have specific s pupil premium attainment and progress) 		Sarah Turner	Termly		Release time
Specific Pupil Premium lead on Assessment Tean	1	Sarah Turner	Termly		Meeting time

•	Raise profile of pupil premium children through pen portraits and tracking system	Sarah Turner	In place for Autumn 1	Staff meeting, time
•	Provision for pupil premium pupils to be updated following each pupil progress meeting.	Phase Leads	Termly	Time
•	Monitor data and progress of pupil premium pupils each half term.	Sarah Turner	Half termly from Autumn 2	Time
•	Tracking of attendance of pupil premium pupils	Deborah Howard	Half termly	Meeting time
•	Identify trends in pupil premium data and needs – plan accordingly	Sarah Turner	Half termly	Time
•	Appraisal targets to reflect this objective – headteacher, SLT, teachers, TAs	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	Meeting time
•	SLT dip ins to often have focus of Pupil Premium children - for example, pupil voice alongside their literacy book or maths book; impact of trips etc . Ensure that sometimes, pupil premium who are not progressing as well as they should are picked	Deborah Howard	Half termly at least	Time
•	Focus in scrutinies – ensuring pupil premium children books are looked at alongside non pupil premium books. Ensure that sometimes, puil premium who are not progressing as well as they should are picked	Deborah Howard	Half termly	Time
•	Pupil Premium book look and pupil voice – led by Associate Governor / OFSTED inspector.	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2	Time
•	All teachers have Target Group report – pupil premium children not on track to make expected progress or to attain Expected standard highlighted and planned for as appropriate	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1	Shared at INSET
•	New assessment system to support teachers in identifying gaps in learning – teachers to have a clear picture of each pupil premium pupil and their gaps.	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1 – used frequently	£350 (costed above) Staff meeting time

SLT	WORK DOOKS Data/progress measures Intervention records	*Staff meetings *Pupil progress meetings	PP meeting end of ea term Half termly data colle Learning walks (Tues	ctions Evaluation of	
Who	What Work books	Where *Staff mostings	When DD mosting and of an	ch Evaluation of	External Validation
1onite					
)ur Pu heir e upil I	CT: Accelerated progress for our pup upil premium pupils are making goo and of year targets and are doing as premium pupils.	d progress towards			
	e, we of course intend the actions of objective too.	Priority 1 to impact			
•	Additional teacher in place to support p intervention work – Years 3, 4	recise group	Deborah Howard	End of Autumn 2	Teachers' salary
•	Additional teachers in place to support intervention work – Years 1, 2, 6	precise group	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	Teachers' salary
•	Extra support such as reading voluntee premium pupils.	rs used to support pupil	Sarah Turner Sarah Greenway	Autumn 2 – used throughout the year	Time
•	FC@N targets pupil premium pupils wh	ere possible.	Claire Myers Hayley Craven	Autumn 1 – termly	£4956
•	Wider provision as per PPG report: mus specialist; art specialist; counsellor; ind pastoral support; trips		Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	Costed with PPG report
•	When pupil premium pupils are on trac Standard, enrichment activities are pro-		Deborah Howard	Autumn 1	Costed with PPG report

Impact: Evaluation /		g system intended outcon	*Half termly data collections *SLT meetings *T&L committee *SLT learning walks mes been achieved? W		ks – (See QAC) rey strengths and a	Learning walks and pupil voice Reports to GB Evidence of impact on tracking system development points?	
SUCCESS CRITERIA	M	AILESTONES		EVIDENCE	OF IMPACT FROM	M MONITORING	
 Pupil premium tracking is robu and effective. Pupil premium progress to mean Expected Stand We will diminish difference betwo our pupil premi and non-pupil premium pupils 10% or less. 	st G a pupils co ed B lard G n the a veen co um B 5 by G	and no wider that cohort By March 2017: Gap (including S and no wider that cohort By June 2018:	END PP) monitored an 20% in any END PP) monitored an 15% in any END PP) no wider				

NEXT STEPS

Achieving Success 2017/18 Priority 3 - Diminish the difference for our EAL children to a gap of no more than 15%.							
Co-ordinator: Deborah Howard – Headteacher	8	Cost of plan:					
Sarah Turner – Achieving Success Lead							
Sam Coombes – Operational Inclusion Lead							
Action(for each action include briefly 'how' and w intended impact on the provision will be)	hat the	Lead person accountable for the action	Time Scale Start and End dates (W/C)	CPD	Resources/Costs/Time		
ACTION: Identify and monitor EAL pupils; mappin interventions and tracking progress thoroughly	g			Profession al			
HOW:				dialogue and time to talk			
 Thorough analysis of data to provide an EAL dat 	a report	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1		Time		
• Tracking system used to identify specific support to be put into place to ensure Expected Standard is met.		Sarah Turner	Autumn 1 – used frequently		Time		
 All teachers have Target Group report – EAL children not on track to make expected progress or to attain Expected standard highlighted and planned for as appropriate 		Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Shared at INSET		
• Teachers have a clear picture of each EAL pupil and their gaps in learning.		Sarah Turner	Autumn 2		Time		
 New assessment system to support teachers in identifying gaps in learning – teachers to have a clear picture of each EAL pupil and their gaps. 		Sarah Turner	Autumn 1 – used frequently		£350 – costed above		
 Wave 2 provision map in place – interventions are recorded and impact measured. 		Sarah Turner / Phase Leads	Termly and also updated as needed		Time		

Monitor what provision / interventions are effective – termly intervention data meetings to analyse impact and review	Sarah Turner	Termly		Time
 Monitor the progress of EAL pupils within pupil progress meetings (paperwork to have specific section on EAL attainment and progress) 	Sarah Turner	Termly		Time
Specific EAL lead on Assessment Team	Deborah Howard	Termly		Time
Raise profile of EAL children through pen portraits and tracking system	Sarah Turner	Autumn 1		Time
 Monitor data and progress of pupil premium pupils each half term. 	Sarah Turner	Half termly from Autumn 2		Time
• Extra support such as reading volunteers used to support pupil premium pupils.	Sarah Turner Sarah Greenway	Autumn 2 – used throughout the year		Time
 Additional teachers in place to support precise group intervention work – Years 1, 2, 6 	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1		Teachers' salary
 Additional teacher in place to support precise group intervention work – Years 3, 4 	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2		Teachers' salary
To note, we of course intend the actions of Priority 1 to impact on this objective too.				
IMPACT: EAL pupils are making good progress towards their end of year targets and are progressing at least as well as our non-EAL pupils. Attainment gap narrowing between our EAL and non EAL children.				
ACTION: Targeted support for teachers HOW: • EAL data project to support understanding of the differing	Sam Coombes	Autumn 1	Sam Coombes' role – working with staff	Time
languages and needs within each class, year group and phase	Deborah Howard			

•	New role for Operational Inclusion Lead in place – EAL focus	Sam Coombes Deborah Howard	Autumn 1		Salary
•	S. Coombes to spend time per teacher (working according to need) first spending time in the classroom getting to know current provision and need, then supporting class teacher with specific planning and resources. Team teaching opportunities and follow up meetings and support	Sam Coombes Deborah Howard	Autumn 2, over the year		Time / Salary
•	CPD on strategies to support EAL learners	Deborah Howard Sam Coombes	Spring 1		Staff meeting
•	Half term focus on staff training calendar – time to spend a half term as a staff talking about EAL strategies, sharing ideas and good practice	Deborah Howard	Spring 1		Staff meeting time
•	Resources shared in staff meetings	Deborah Howard Sam Coombes	Spring 1		Staff meeting
•	When good practice is spotted in scrutiny, asking staff to share with staff	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1, as seen		Time
end of non-E and ne	CT: EAL pupils are making good progress towards their f year targets and are progressing at least as well as our AL pupils. Attainment gap narrowing between our EAL on EAL children.				
	ON: Developing a further understanding of our EAL en and their needs			Feedback and support following	
HOW:				scrutinies	
•	Tracking of attendance of EAL pupils – working with families to support improvements	Deborah Howard	Half termly	etc	Meeting time
•	EAL parent focus group to understand barriers they have with school communication and what can be done	Ellie Linton	Autumn 2		Time

 SLT dip ins to often have focus of EAL children - for example, pupil voice alongside their literacy book or maths book; learning journey checker – EAL compared to non EAL; pupil voice on barriers / strategies that support etc. Ensure that sometimes, EAL who are not progressing as well as they should are picked 	Deborah Howard	At least half termly		Time
 Non data appraisal targets to reflect this objective – headteacher, TAs. Included in pupil progress target for teachers (after PP children, EAL children are part of their target group) 	Deborah Howard	Autumn 1		Time
 Focus in scrutinies – ensuring pupil premium children books are looked at alongside non pupil premium books. Ensure that sometimes, puil premium who are not progressing as well as they should are picked 	Deborah Howard	Half termly		Time
• TA forums on EAL strategies and sharing practice	Deborah Howard	Autumn 2, Spring 2		Time
 Observations in EYFS to consider how EAL children are accessing the environment 	Deborah Howard Helen Woolf	Autumn 2, Spring 2		Time
 Sampling in EYFS to consider any barriers EAL children may be facing 	Deborah Howard Helen Woolf	Autumn 2, Spring 2		Time
See Parental Links plan for actions in place to further support and link with EAL families	Sarah Greenway			
ACTION: Bilingual approach throughout the school HOW			Staff meeting time and	
Talk friendly classrooms to be created.	Sam Coombes Deborah Howard	Summer 2	Sam Coombes' role	Time Salary (see above)
 Wordbanks and bilingual dictionaries purchased for each classroom. 	Sam Coombes	Spring 1		£300

• Talk for writing a CPD.	and collaborative learni	ng developed through	Kat Rowe	Sprin	g 1	Staff meeting time Mentor time
• Inference training concepts used to support EAL learners.		Sarah Greenway	Sprin	g 1	Staff meeting time	
• Celebrations of our culturally diverse commu the year.		ommunity throughout	Deborah Howard	Throu	ighout year	Time to organise
Bilingual signs th	nroughout the school.		Sam Coombes	Sprin	g 1	£50
Pre-teaching of v for our EAL learn	vocabulary and underst ners.	anding before a topic	Deborah Howard	Autur	nn 1	Time
IMPACT: EAL learners their end of year targe skills						
Monitoring						
	What	Where	When		How	External Validation
SLT	Work books Data/progress measures Intervention records	*Staff meetings *Pupil progress meetings *Half termly data collections *SLT meetings *T&L committee *SLT learning walks	PP meeting end of ea term Half termly data colle Learning walks (Tues Book looks – (See QA	ctions days)	Evaluation of differentiation. Evaluation of progres in RWM Learning walks and pupil voice Reports to GB	QA report
Impact: Evaluation Ha	ave the intended outco	mes been achieved? V	hat are the key strengths	and de	velopment points?	
SUCCESS CRITERIA	MILESTONES		EVIDENCE OF IMPACT		<u> </u>	
 EAL tracking is robust and effective. EAL pupils mal accelerated progress to me Expected 	Gap no wider t ke By March 2018 Gap no wider the	than 25% per cohort				
	By June 2018					

We will diminish the difference between our EAL	
and non-EAL pupils to 15% or	
less	

NEXT STEPS	
	NEXT STEPS